Case 1:11-cv-03605-JSR Document 73 Filed 11/30/11 Page 1 of 12

Telephone: (212) 407-4000	KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP Philip Bentley Elise Scherr Frejka 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 Telephone: (212) 715-9100 Facsimile: (212) 715-8000
, ,	Counsel for Defendants listed on Annex B
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X
SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION,	* *
Plaintiff-Appl	icant, : Adv. Pro. No. 08-1789 (BRL)
v.	: SIPA LIQUIDATION
BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,	: (substantively consolidated)
Defendant.	* :
In re:	X :
BERNARD L. MADOFF,	: :
Debtor.	
IRVING H. PICARD, TRUSTEE FOR THE LIQUIDATION OF BERNARD L. MADOF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,	
Plaintiff,	11.0' 2005 (100)
V.	: 11 Civ. 3605 (JSR) :
SAUL B. KATZ, et al.,	<u>:</u>
Defendants.	:
	X

AMICUS BRIEF ON "RESET TO ZERO" METHODOLOGY, PURSUANT TO NOVEMBER 28, 2011 COURT AUTHORIZATION

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

ASES Page(s)
re Adelphia, No. 02-41729, 2006 WL 687153 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Mar. 6, 2006)4
re Clayton Magazines, Inc., 77 F.2d 852 (2d Cir. 1935)2
re Cybridge Corp., 312 B.R. 262 (D.N.J. 2004)
onell v. Kowell, 533 F.3d 762 (9th Cir. 2008)2
re Gober, 100 F.3d 1195 (5th Cir. 1998)2
BE Leasing v. Frank, 48 F.3d 623 (2d Cir. 1995)
ingsley v. Wetzel, 518 F.3d 874 (11th Cir. 2008)4
re Lease-A-Fleet, 155 B.R. 666 (E.D. Pa. 1993)
re M&L Business Machine, 198 B.R. 800 (D. Colo. 1996)2
re Malinowski, 156 F.3d 131 (2d. Cir. 1998)2
icard v. Katz, 2011 WL 4448638 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 27, 2011)
TATUTES
ankruptcy Code § 546(e)
ankruptcy Code §§ 548(c) & (d)(2)
ankruptcy Code § 550(d)
ankruptcy Code § 553(a)1

Case 1:11-cv-03605-JSR Document 73 Filed 11/30/11 Page 3 of 12

N.Y. Debtor & Creditor Law §151	.1
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
Zisser, Madoff and Net Investment Method; Equity Goes Where the Law Fears to Tread, 23 Bankr. L. Rep. (BNA) (Vol. 44) 1438	2

The undersigned counsel represent numerous "good faith" defendants listed on Annexes A and B ("Defendants"). All are being sued by the Madoff Trustee. This amicus brief discusses a critical alternative defense – "reset to zero" – that has not been raised by other defendants.

In *Picard v. Katz*, 2011 WL 4448638 at *4, n.6. (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 27, 2011) [ECF No. 40] ("*Katz*"), this Court requested further briefing on the proper measure of the Trustee's potential recovery during the two-year look-back period prescribed by Bankruptcy Code § 546(e). The *Katz* defendants argued for using customer statements as the basis for measuring liability, a position Defendants support. If, however, the Court declines to adopt that approach, Defendants suggest that the Court adopt the "reset to zero" methodology. Under "reset to zero," only withdrawals within the two-year clawback period would be considered – which would allow defendants credit for reinvesting funds with BLMIS during that time-frame.

By contrast, the Trustee's "net investment" approach would typically give no credit for reinvestments. Instead, the Trustee would compute each investor's "net profit" over the entire span of his or her relationship with Madoff (even if decades long), and would give no credit for reinvestments made during the two-year clawback period unless those reinvestments exceeded the "net profits" earned in *prior years*. In effect, this approach would enable the Trustee to recover transfers that he would be time-barred from pursuing, through the device of applying reinvestments during the two-year period against transfers made in earlier years.

While the Trustee articulates no doctrinal basis of his approach, it presumably rests on some version of setoff or recoupment. Neither theory works. Setoff is an equitable remedy typically utilized by solvent entities to defend claims asserted by bankrupt entities, not the other way around. *See* Bankruptcy Code § 553(a) (creditors can use state law setoff rights against debtor); N.Y. Debtor & Creditor Law §151 (setoff allowed only upon insolvency of other party).

And recoupment is inherently defensive and cannot undergird an affirmative recovery theory. See In re Malinowski, 156 F.3d 131 (2d. Cir. 1998) ("Recoupment is in the nature of a defense[.]"); 5 Collier on Bankruptcy (16th ed.) ¶ 553.10 at 553-93 (recoupment "merely a defensive right."). Moreover, recoupment is available only when transfers arise out of a single transaction. See In re M&L Business Machine, 198 B.R. 800, 811 (D. Colo. 1996) (multiple Ponzi scheme investments were separate transactions for recoupment purposes).

A further legal flaw, independently fatal to the Trustee's "net investment" approach, is that it would improperly permit him to make offensive use, through setoff, of time-barred fraudulent transfer claims. *See*, *e.g.*, *In re Clayton Magazines*, *Inc.*, 77 F.2d 852, 853 (2d Cir. 1935) (disallowing setoff of time-barred claim: "[O]ne against whom set-off is claimed must still be under the legal obligation to pay the amount of the set-off to the claimant."); *In re Gober*, 100 F.3d 1195, 1208 (5th Cir. 1998) (setoff "subject to the applicable statute of limitations").

In briefing *Katz*, the Trustee cited *Donell v. Kowell*, 533 F.3d 762, 771 (9th Cir. 2008), as his main decisional support. But neither *Donell* nor any other case the Trustee cited deals with these knotty issues generally or with the specific treatment of investors who reinvested funds during the applicable look-back period. *Cf. Zisser, Madoff and Net Investment Method: Equity Goes Where the Law Fears to Tread*, 23 Bankr. L. Rep. (BNA) (Vol. 44) 1438, 1439 (2011) (arguing that, in SIPA claim context, Trustee should be prohibited from netting time-barred customer redemptions against newer deposits).

Given its dubious legal underpinnings, it is not surprising that the Trustee's "net investment" method would yield illogical and unfair results. The following hypothetical involving three good-faith investors (Investors A, B, and C) illustrates the equitable and consistency problems with the Trustee's proposed method:

		Amount withdrawn	Amount withdrawn in	Amount	Subsequent investments/	Effect of transactions in	D. I.	Results under
T	Amount	in June 2006	January 2007	reinvested	withdrawals	two-year time period on	Results under "reset to	Trustee's "net investment"
Investor name	invested in 1988	(outside 2- year period)	(within 2- year period)	in June 2007	during two- year period	estate	zero" method	method
	12							Investor
							Investor not	liable for
Investor A	\$200,000	\$500,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	None	No effect	liable	\$100,000
						Estate	Investor	Investor
						diminished by	liable for	liable for
Investor B	\$200,000	\$500,000	\$100,000	None	None	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000
					\$100,000			
					withdrawn;	Enriched		Investor
					\$100,000	estate by	Investor not	liable for
Investor C	\$200,000	\$500,000	\$100,000	\$200,000	reinvested	\$100,000	liable	\$200,000

<u>Investor A</u> invests \$200,000 with Madoff in 1988 and withdraws \$500,000 in June 2006 (outside the two-year look-back period), thereby becoming a \$300,000 so-called "net winner" before the two-year period.¹ In January 2007 (within the two-year period), Investor A withdraws another \$100,000 and shortly thereafter re-invests \$100,000 with Madoff.

Under the Trustee's approach, Investor A's liability would be calculated as follows: total withdrawals (\$600,000) less total investments (\$300,000) over the 20-year life of the relationship, resulting in \$300,000 of allegedly fictitious profits. The Trustee's recovery would then be limited to the \$100,000 actually received by Investor A during the two-year period. *See* Chart, last column.

But Investor A should have no liability, because the estate was not harmed during the applicable two-year period. The transactions were a wash (\$100,000 withdrawn but then reinvested). See, e.g., In re Lease-A-Fleet, 155 B.R. 666, 672 (E.D. Pa. 1993) (dismissing fraudulent transfer claims because "most of the transfers to the [insiders] were characterized as 'circles of cash' in which the same or nearly the same amount of funds flowed ... back to the

¹ Even though more than doubling one's money sounds impressive, this return over the 18 years from 1988 to 2006 represents only a 5.2% compounded interest rate – a modest investment result during the years in question.

Debtor[.]"); *In re Adelphia*, No. 02-41729, 2006 WL 687153 at * 15 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Mar. 6, 2006) (holding it "the ultimate exercise in the elevation of form over substance" to void transfers that ultimately returned to debtor); *In re Cybridge Corp.*, 312 B.R. 262, 271 (D.N.J. 2004) (barring recovery of transfers defendant had restored to estate on grounds that, under Bankruptcy Code § 550(d), "the trustee is entitled to only a single satisfaction under" § 550(a)); *Kingsley v. Wetzel*, 518 F.3d 874, 878 (11th Cir. 2008) (recovery of funds defendant used to pay debtor's bills "would result in an inequitable windfall for the estate.").

Notably, Investor A's transactions had no effect on the estate during the relevant two-year period. **Investor B**, on the other hand, depleted the estate by \$100,000. Yet the Trustee would treat these two investors identically. Even worse, **Investor C's** transactions *enriched* the estate by \$100,000 over the two-year period, yet Investor C would end up owing the Trustee \$200,000 – twice the amount owed by either of the other two. Such anomalous, arbitrary results would violate the rule that the touchstone of fraudulent transfer jurisprudence is whether the estate was "unfairly diminished." *HBE Leasing v. Frank*, 48 F.3d 623, 635 (2d Cir. 1995).

The "reset to zero" approach avoids these problems and reaches the fair and intuitively correct result. The outcomes are precisely correlated with the actual economic effect on the estate during the two-year period mandated by *Katz*. *See* Chart, 7th and 8th columns.

Finally, the Trustee claims that if "reset to zero" were adopted, defendants who were so-called "net losers" at the beginning of the two-year measurement period would be deprived "of the proper credit for the principal they invested outside the two-year period." Trustee's *Katz* Brief at 12. This assertion is a red herring. A "good-faith" investor *always* has the statutory right to assert "antecedent debt" as a defense, to show that the investor gave "fair equivalent value." Bankruptcy Code §§ 548(c) & (d)(2). BLMIS's obligation to repay at least the principal

amount of a defendant's investment is plainly an "antecedent debt." Even the Trustee concedes as much, because he is not suing "good faith" investors for recovery of principal. Nonetheless, citing no authority, the Trustee claims that, under his caricature of "reset to zero," a so-called "net loser" would get no credit for a positive principal balance at the start of the two-year period. This suggestion is pure sophistry. To be sure, under "reset to zero," if the first transaction in the two years was a redemption, that payment could constitute a *prima facie* fraudulent transfer (*i.e.*, it was made by Madoff with intent to perpetuate his fraud). But a "net loser" defendant would then be entitled to assert his positive principal balance as a statutory affirmative defense, just like any other "good faith" defendant.

Nothing in the law says or even suggests that the "antecedent debt" must have come into existence within the applicable "look-back" period. Indeed, imposing such a requirement would eviscerate this fundamental defense. For example, a lender that was repaid a ten-year-old term loan would be unable to interpose the "antecedent debt" represented by that loan as a defense to the loan repayment. The Trustee's conjecture thus seems designed to do nothing more than raise unwarranted doubts about the fairness of the "reset to zero" methodology. But properly applied, "reset to zero" – in stark contrast with the Trustee's "net investment" approach – is supported by sound legal principles and produces equitable, logical results.

Dated: November 30, 2011 New York, New York

LOEB & LOEB, LLP

P. Gregory Schwed

P. Gregory Schwed Daniel B. Besikof 345 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10154

212-407-4000

KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP

By: / 10 / 10 / 10

Philip Bentley Elise Scherr Frejka

1177 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036

212-715-9100

Counsel for Defendants listed on Annex B

Counsel for Defendants listed on Annex A

NY989758.4 217250-10001

ANNEX A

Loeb & Loeb LLP BLMIS Adversary Proceedings

Civil Case No.	Adv. Proc. No.	Defendant(s) Represented by Loeb & Loeb LLP
11-cv-08668	10-04342	Kenneth L. Evenstad Revocable Trust u/a/d May 2, 2000; Kenneth L.
		Evenstad in his capacity as Grantor and Trustee for the Kenneth L. Evenstad
		Revocable Trust; Grace B. Evenstad in her capacity as Trustee for the Kenneth
		L. Evenstad Revocable Trust; Kenneth L. Evenstad, individually; and Grace B.
		Evenstad, individually
11-cv-08674	10-04933	Kenneth L. Evenstad Revocable Trust u/a/d May 2, 2000; Kenneth L.
		Evenstad, as grantor and trustee of the Kenneth L. Evenstad Revocable Trust
		and individually; and Grace B. Evenstad, as trustee of the Kenneth L. Evenstad
		Revocable Trust and individually

ANNEX B

Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel BLMIS Adversary Proceedings

Civil Case No.	Adv. Proc. No.	Defendant(s) Represented by Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP
11-cv-08474	10-04340	Ambassador Shoe Corporation
11-cv-08475	10-04355	Fred A. Daibes Madoff Securities Trust
11-cv-08476	10-04365	Arthur M. Siskind
11-cv-08477	10-04366	Lillian Berman Goldfarb
11-cv-08478	10-04372	Estate of Helene Abraham, Estate of Alexander Abraham, Nancy Abraham, James Abraham, William Spears, Trust Under Clause Third (B) F/B/O Myron Wilk, Antonia Abraham, Karen Abraham, Lauren Abraham Mahoney, Trust U/A Dated 4/2/1996 F/B/O Karen Abraham, Trust U/A Dated 4/2/1996 F/B/O Antonia Abraham, Trust U/A Dated 4/2/1996 F/B/O Lauren Abraham
11-cv-08479	10-04373	Richard A. Broms Revocable Trust, Richard A. Broms
11-cv-08480	10-04377	Carol Nelson, Stanley Nelson
11-cv-08481	10-04382	Lyle Berman, Lyle A. Berman Revocable Trust U/A DTD 6/18/04
11-cv-08482	10-04388	Estate of Robert Rimsky, Rimsky Family Limited Partnership, L. Rimsky Inc., Article Third Trust U/W Jeanne Rimsky, Article Fourth Trust Section One, Article Fourth Trust Section Three, Article Fifth Trust Section One, Douglas Jay Rimsky, Rena Rimsky Wing, Lee Cooper Rimsky, Don Harris Rimsky, Renee Rimsky, Margaret Rimsky, Sarah Rimsky Levitin, Kenneth Wing, Gabrielle Jaffe, Adam Beer, Jonathan Wing, Juliette Beer, Barry Meyers, Harriet L. Meyers, Eric Rimsky, Liza Rimsky, Kate Rimsky, K.A.L., A.R.L., J.L.W., T. Randolph Harris, Sheldon Silverberg
11-cv-08483	10-04409	Robert A. Meister
11-cv-08484	10-04416	Theresa Berman Revocable Trust, Theresa Berman, Lyle Berman, Sharon Berman Snyder
11-cv-08485	10-04427	The Olesky Survivors Trust dated 2/27/84, Cynthia Olesky Giammarrusco
11-cv-08486	10-04430	Malcolm Sherman
11-cv-08487	10-04431	Agas Company L.P., Fred Schwartz, Allyne Schwartz, Ann J. Schwartz Kluger, Steven Schwartz, Amy Madlyn Schwartz, Gary Paul Schwartz
11-cv-08488	10-04439	AHT Partners, LP, AHT Associates, LLC, Andrew H. Tananbaum
11-cv-08489	10-04452	BWA Ambassador, Inc., Robert Siff, Shirley Siff
11-cv-08490	10-04479	Bernard Greenman Marital Deduction Trust, Greenman Family Foundation, Inc., Phyllis Greenman, Lester Greenman, Judith Katz, Stewart Katz
11-cv-08491	10-04482	Michael Goldstein
11-cv-08492	10-04484	Indian Wells Partnership, LTD., , Linda H. Kamm, Harvey R. Heller
11-cv-08493	10-04521	Rubin Family Investments Partnership, Harold Rubin Living Trust U/A dated August 24, 1990, Harold R. Rubin, Elaine Rubin Living Trust U/A dated August 24, 1990, Elaine S. Rubin, Stuart A. Rubin, Benjamin H. Rubin, Carol J. Rubin, Lisa P. Rubin, Linda S. Benenson, Dona L. Rodich, Paul A. Benenson, Michael E. Rodich
11-cv-08574	10-04556	Geoffrey S. Rehnert
11-cv-08575	10-04567	Neil S. Goldman
11-cv-08576	10-04587	Karen Siff Exkorn
11-cv-08577	10-04640	Collingwood Group, Richard Forman, Joan Forman
11-cv-08578	10-04641	Shirley S. Siff Trust 1989 DTD 12/20/89, Robert M. Siff, Shirley S. Siff

Case 1:11-cv-03605-JSR Document 73 Filed 11/30/11 Page 11 of 12

Civil Case No.	Adv. Proc. No.	Defendant(s) Represented by Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP
11 - cv-08579	10-04646	Marc B. Wolpow 1995 Family Trust, Barry S. Volpert, R. Bradford Malt,
		Marc B. Wolpow, Nina Wolpow
11-cv-08580	10-04651	Audax Group LP, Audax Management Co. LLC, 101 Huntington Holdings
		LLC, Marc B. Wolpow, Geoffrey S. Rehnert, Richard T. Joseph
11-cv-08581	10-04658	Carol Nelson
11-cv-08582	10-04684	Gordon Associates, Bruce Gordon
11-cv-08583	10-04705	Robert M. Siff
11-cv-08584	10-04736	Robert Rosenfield
11-cv-08585	10-04745	Lawrence A. Siff
11-cv-08586	10-04767	Kay Morrissey
11-cv-08587	10-04811	Ludmilla Goldberg
11-cv-08588	10-04863	Ninth Street Partners, Ltd. f/k/a Heller Bros. Partnership, LTD., SHL, Inc.
11-cv-08589	10-04874	James Morrissey
11-cv-08590	10-04949	Branch Family Development, LLC, Adam Steiner, Charles Steiner, Bryan Steiner
11-cv-08591	10-04985	Mathew and Evelyn Broms Investment Partnership, Mathew Broms Revocable
		Trust, Evelyn Broms, Richard Broms, Irrevocable Trust for the Benefit of
		Alison Sarah Broms dtd 11/7/1984, John Doe
11-cv-08592	10-04990	Carol Lederman
11-cv-08593	10-05055	Lucerne Foundation, Douglas J. Rimsky
11-cv-08630	10-05061	Estate of James Heller, Barbara H. Freitag, Steven P. Heller, Harry H. Falk, Eve Freitag, Elizabeth Freitag Dranoff, Trust F/B/O An.D. [a minor] under Articles Sixth and Eighth of the Last Will and Testament of James Heller, a New York trust, Trust F/B/O A1.D. [a minor] under Articles Sixth and Eighth of the Last Will and Testament of James Heller, a New York trust, Trust F/B/O S.H. [a minor] under Articles Sixth and Eighth of the Last Will and Testament of James Heller, a New York trust, Trust F/B/O Katherine Heller under Articles Sixth and Eighth of the Last Will and Testament of James Heller, Katherine Heller, Eleanor Leacock
11-cv-08631	10-05066	Love & Quiches LTD. 401(k) Savings Plan and Its Related Trust, Susan Axelrod, Irwin Axelrod
11-cv-08632	10-05068	D. Stone Industries, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan, Daniel Stone, Michael Stone, Susan Stone
11-cv-08633	10-05071	The Lyle Berman Family Partnership, Neil I. Sell, Stanley Taube, Amy Berman Irrevocable Trust U/TA 8/9/89, Julie Berman Irrevocable Trust U/TA 8/9/89, Bradley Berman Irrevocable Trust U/TA 8/9/89, Jessie Lynn Berman Irrevocable Trust U/TA 8/9/89, Julie Berman, Bradley Berman, Jessie Lynn Berman, Amy Berman
11-cv-08634	10-05080	Bertram Bromberg Trust UAD 5/26/06, Gloria Bromberg Trust UAD 5/26/06, Bertram Bromberg, Gloria Bromberg
11-cv-08635	10-05084	Daniel Stone, Susan Jane Stone
11-cv-08636	10-05090	Eugenia G. Vogel, Howard Vogel, Howard Vogel Retirement Plan
11-cv-08637	10-05096	Harry Schick
11-cv-08638	10-05100	Robert M. Siff
11-cv-08639	10-05129	Lichter Family Partnership, Seymour Lichter, Betty G. Lichter
11-cv-08675	10-05138	The Robert M. Siff Trust - 1990, Robert M. Siff, Shirley S. Siff
11-cv-08676	10-05140	Joyce G. Moscoe, Donald S. Moscoe, Thomas Moscoe, Joyce G. Moscoe Revocable Trust Agreement

Civil Case No.	Adv. Proc. No.	Defendant(s) Represented by Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP
11-ev-08677	10-05167	Estate of Elaine Cooper, Steven Mnuchin, Alan Mnuchin, Generation Skipping Transfer Trust FBO Alan Mnuchin, Generation Skipping Transfer Trust FBO Steven Mnuchin, Trust FBO Alan Mnuchin, Trust FBO Steven Mnuchin, Trust FBO E.M. Under Article 5C, Trust FBO D.M. Under Article 5C, Trust FBO J.M. Under Article 5C
11-cv-08678	10-05247	Jeffrey H. Fisher Separate Property Revocable Trust, DTD 6/7/2007, Jeffrey Fisher, as grantor and as a trustee of the Jeffrey H. Fisher Separate Property Revocable Trust, DTD 6/7/2007, Louis Cohen, as a trustee of the Jeffrey H. Fisher Separate Property Revocable Trust, DTD 6/7/2007
11-cv-08679	10-05259	Stuart M. Stein, Arthur Siskind, Arthur J. Feibus, Jamat Company, LLC, Mestro Company
11-cv-08680	10-05289	RIP Investments, LP, Village Hook, LLC, Sidney Kimmel Revocable Trust Dated May 17, 2001, Sidney Kimmel
11-cv-08681	10-05327	Estate of David A. Wingate, Shoshanna L. Wingate
	10-05336	Falcon Associates, L.P., Marc B. Fisher
	10-05382	The Trust U/W/O H. Thomas Langbert F/B/O Evelyn Langbert, Evelyn Langbert, Fred Dechowitz (deceased)
	10-05390	1096-1100 River Road Associates, LLC, Fred A. Daibes, LLC, Fred A. Daibes
	10-05419	James Heller Family, LLC, Estate of James Heller, Barbara H. Freitag, Steven P. Heller, Harry H. Falk, Steven P. Heller Revocable Trust, Robert P. Saltsman, Eve Freitag, Elizabeth Freitag Dranoff, Trust FBO AN.D. [a minor] under Articles Sixth and Eighth of the Last Will and Testament of James Heller, Trust FBO AL.D. [a minor] under Articles Sixth and Eighth of the Last Will and Testament of James Heller, Trust FBO S.H. [a minor] under Articles Sixth and Eighth of the Last Will and Testament of James Heller, Trust FBO Katherine Heller under Articles Sixth and Eighth of the Last Will and Testament of James Heller, Katherine Heller, Eleanor Leacock
	10-05432	Mark & Carol Enterprises, Inc., Mark T. Lederman, Carol Lederman
	10-05440	CAJ Associates, L.P., Carol Lederman
	11-02773	Jewish Association for Services for the Aged

KL2 2726651.2